

## CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date set forth below.

Date of Signature and

Transmission:

3/10/2004

  
Steven J. Wietrzny, Attorney of RecordIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

FAX RECEIVED

MAR 10 2004

T.C. 2800

TO: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment  
Commissioner of Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
Telecopy No. (571) 273-1983

FROM: Steven J. Wietrzny, Esq.  
Reg. No. 44,402  
Attorney For Applicants  
Quarles & Brady LLP  
411 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202  
Telephone No. (414) 277-5415  
Telecopy No. (414) 271-3552

**PLEASE DELIVER DIRECTLY  
TO EXAMINER**

IN CASE OF PROBLEM, CALL (414) 277-5738

Applicants: Bruce W. Weiss, et al.  
Application No.: 10/649,842  
Filed: August 26, 2003  
For: TWIST OUT SEALED CONDUIT KNOCKOUT  
Group Art Unit: 2831  
Examiner: D. Patel  
Att'y. Docket: 01AB160

No. of Pages: 12 + cover sheet

QBMKE\110003.00007\5548520.1

**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Applicant:** Bruce W. Weiss, et al.  
**Application No.:** 10/649,842  
**Filing Date:** August 26, 2003  
**Title:** TWIST OUT SEALED CONDUIT KNOCKOUT  
**Group Art No:** 2831  
**Examiner:** Patel, D.  
**Atty. Docket No.:** 01AB160

**FAX RECEIVED**  
**MAR 10 2004**  
**T.C. 2800**

**SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT**

Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In further response to the Office Action mailed November 19, 2003, please enter into the record of the above application the following amendment.

1. Page 2 discusses a recent telephone interview.
2. Page 3 discusses amendments to the drawings.
3. Page 4 contains amendments to the specification.
4. Pages 5-8 contain claim amendments.
5. Pages 9-10 contain remarks.

Ser. No. 10/649,842  
Bruce W. Weiss, et al.  
Page 2 of 10

Telephone Interview:

In a telephone interview on March 10, 2004, the undersigned and Examiner Patel discussed claim 21 added in applicants' previous amendment. In particular, Examiner Patel questioned whether the specification supported the recited claim language, specifically the language regarding the tab "intersecting the groove to define at least two groove sections". It was agreed to amend claim 21 to remove the terms "intersecting" and "groove sections" and to amend the specification and drawings to more clearly describe and reference the two sections of groove 24 shown in the drawings in one form as two nearly semi-circular recesses on each side of two spaced apart tabs 26.